UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,613	12/14/2005	Pietro Bigoni	377/9-2179	7269
²⁸¹⁴⁷ WILLIAM J. S.	7590 10/29/200 APONE	8	EXAMINER	
	DOL SAPONE P.C.	MILLER, SAMANTHA A		
714 COLORAI BRIDGE PORT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,613	BIGONI, PIETRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SAMANTHA A. MILLER	3749		

	SAMANTHA A. MILLER	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affida ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	of Appeal. To avoid abar vit, or other evidence, w e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for tter than SIX MONTHS from the mail b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	ing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amour hortened statutory period for reply or	nt of the fee. The appropria iginally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 September 2008</u> . A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), c appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	t 41.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	isideration and/or search (see No v);	OTE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a company 			ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	on copenianty names of an and	.,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Compliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: The new limitations of claim 8 will need further consideration. 	ered but does NOT place the app	lication in condition for a	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:			
/Steven B. McAllister/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749			